APPENDIX C – FOLLOW UP ANALYSES

1.0 Follow-up Analyses on Message Treatments

To further explore variation in message effectiveness among specific use groups, we conducted follow-up analyses on message treatments that respondents considered to be most effective at encouraging Clean, Drian, Dry. Following the presentation of the message/image to respondents, respondents were asked, "In your opinion, how effective would this message be at increasing boaters' Clean, Drain, Dry behaviors?" Responses ranged along a 5-point scale where 1="not at all effective" through 5="extremely effective". Messages respondents considered most effective (with means ≥ 3.4) consisted of all four metaphor based messages, economic loss and ecological gain, and the injunctive norm message. For these messages, we examined variation by watercraft type, activity type, household income, gender, and age. Based on comparisons presented in Appendix B, most variation among specific use groups was seen within these groups. Mean variation was conducted using independent sample t-tests and ONEWAY ANOVAs.

1.1 Science-Based Metaphor

Tables 1 through 5 report the findings for the science-based metaphor. We observed little statistical variation among groups. In some instances, the lack of statistical variation can be attributed the small number of cases within the various categories. While houseboat owners considered the message most effective (M=4.0), only three respondents who received this message reported owning a houseboat (Table 1). Jet ski owners considered the message least effective. Alternately, among recreational activities, wake sports participants (M=3.50), recreational anglers (M=3.48), and pleasure cruisers considered the science metaphor most effective, whereas tournament anglers (M=3.29) considered the message least effective (Table 2). Men (Table 3, M=3.47) and respondents with household incomes ranging from \$80,000 to \$139,999 (M range 3.60 to 3.64) considered the message most effective whereas younger respondents (36-45 years, M=3.04; Table 5) considered the message least effective.



Figure 1. Science-based Metaphor Message

Table 1. The extent you feel the science metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters

Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by watercraft type

cican, brain, bry benavior	S by Water Gran	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Yes	No			
Watercraft Type	n	n	t (<i>df</i>)	<i>p</i> -value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Ski or Wakeboard Boat	51	148	-1.11 (197)	.267	181
Ski di Wakebdalu Bdat	3.53 (.78)	3.39 (.75)	-1.11 (197)	.207	101
John Boat or Bass Boat	83	116	1.41 (197)	.160	.203
JUIIII BUAL UI BASS BUAL	3.34 (.74)	3.49 (.77)	1.41 (197)	.100	.203
Cabin Cruiser or	27	172	40 (197)	.691	082
Center Console	3.48 (.64)	3.42 (.78)	40 (137)	.031	002
Pontoon Boat	25	174	-1.22 (197)	.225	260
POHLOOH BOOL	3.60 (.76)	3.40 (.76)		.223	200
Houseboat ^a	3	196	-10.66 (195)	<.001***	766
Houseboat	4.00 (.00)	3.42 (.76)	10.00 (133)		
Sailboat	6	193	24 (197)	.812	098
Sanboat	3.50 (.84)	3.42 (.76)	.24 (137)	.012	.030
Jet Ski	17	182	.75 (197)	.453	.191
JEL JKI	3.29 (.96)	3.42 (.80)	.73 (137)	.433	.191
Kayak, Canoe, or	62	137	10 (197)	.917	016
Paddleboard	3.44 (.67)	3.42 (.80)	.10 (137)	.517	.010
Other	37	162	/13 (107)	.667	.079
Other	3.38 (.79)	3.44 (.76)	.43 (197)	.007	.075

^aEqual variance not assumed

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 2. The extent you feel the science metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by activity type

	Yes	No			
Activity Type	n	n	t (df)	p-value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Recreational Fishing	153	46	-1.70 (197)	.091	285
necreational Fishing	3.48 (.77)	3.26 (.71)	-1.70 (197)	.091	263
Tournament Fishing	7	192	EO (107)	.618	.192
Tournament rishing	3.29 (.76)	3.43 (.76)	.50 (197)	.018	.192
Wake Sports	50	149	25 (107)	.725	058
wake sports	3.46 (.79)	3.42 (.75)	35 (197)	.723	036
Pleasure Cruising	84	115	-1.16 (197)	.249	166
Fleasure Cruising	3.50 (.74)	3.37 (.78)	-1.10 (197)	.249	100
Hunting	31	168	22 (107)	.751	.062
Hunting	3.39 (.67)	3.43 (.78)	.32 (197)	./51	.062
Other	12	187	1 62 (107)	.107	402
Other	3.08 (.79)	3.45 (.76)	1.62 (197)	.107	.483

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

^{*}p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Table 3. The extent you feel the science metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by gender identification

	М	SD	n
Female	3.18	.73	17
Male	3.47	.76	176
Prefer not to answer	2.83	.75	6
Total	3.43	.76	199

F=3.11 (df=2, 196), p=.047, η^2 =.031

Note: post-hoc test revealed no statistical difference between groups

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 4. The extent you feel the science metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by income

Age (years)	M	SD	n
<\$20,000	3.50	.71	2
\$20,000-\$39,999	3.57	.53	7
\$40,000-\$59,999	3.38	.65	13
\$60,000-\$79,999	3.23	.92	22
\$80,000-\$99,999	3.64	.85	22
\$100,000-\$119,999	3.61	.72	23
\$120,000-\$139,999	3.60	.63	15
\$140,000-\$159,999	3.55	.69	20
\$160,000+	3.27	.76	70
Prefer not to answer	3.60	.89	5
Total	3.43	.76	199

F=1.03 (df=9, 189), p=.415, η^2 =.047

Table 5. In your opinion, how effective would this message be at increasing boaters' Clean, Drain, Dry behaviors by age

Age (years)	M	SD	n
18-25			
26-35			
36-45 ^a	3.04	.66	26
46-55 ^{ab}	3.47	.88	36
56-65 ^{ab}	3.37	.75	73
66-75 ^b	3.71	.63	45
> 75 ^{ab}	3.47	.83	15
Total	3.43	.77	195

F=3.53 (df=4, 190), p=.008, η²=.069

Note: Values not sharing the same superscript are significantly different at p< .05

1.2 Protect Metaphor

Tables 6 through 10 report the analyses for the protective metaphor. We observed no statistically significant variation across groups. All groups considered the message moderately effective for encouraging Clean, Drain, Dry.



Figure 2. Protective Metaphor Message

Table 6. The extent you feel the protective metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by watercraft type

	Yes	No			
Watercraft Type	n	n	t (df)	<i>p</i> -value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Ski or Wakeboard Boat	58	159	.71 (215)	.476	.110
SKI OI Wakeboara Boat	3.34 (.78)	3.43 (.82)	.71 (213)	.470	.110
John Boat or Bass Boat	74	143	.24 (215)	.812	.034
John Boat of Bass Boat	3.39 (.86)	3.42 (.79)	.24 (213)	.012	.054
Cabin Cruiser or	28	189	.37 (215)	.712	.075
Center Console	3.36 (.91)	3.42 (.80)	.57 (215)	./12	.075
Pontoon Boat	19	198	36 (215)	.722	086
rontoon boat	3.47 (.90)	3.40 (.81)	.50 (215)	./	080
Houseboat	7	210	-1.48 (215)	.139	570
Tiouseboat	3.86 (.90)	3.40 (.81)	-1.48 (213)	.133	570
Sailboat	10	207	36 (215)	.721	116
Sanboat	3.50 (.71)	3.41 (.82)	30 (213)	./21	110
Jet Ski	22	195	55 (215)	.585	123
Jet Ski	3.50 (.91)	3.40 (.80)	55 (215)	.565	123
Kayak, Canoe, or	66	151	1.29 (215)	.200	.190
Paddleboard	3.30 (.80)	3.46 (.81)	1.23 (213)	.200	.150
Other	50	167	10 (215)	.922	016
Other	3.42 (.70)	3.41 (.84)	10 (215)	.922	016

^{*}p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 7. The extent you feel the protective metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by activity type

	Yes	No			
Activity Type	n	n	t (df)	p-value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Recreational Fishing	154	63	1.15 (215)	.258	.170
Recreational Fishing	3.37 (.84)	3.51 (.74)	1.13 (213)	.236	.170
Tournament Fishing	10	207	.44 (215)	.662	.142
Tournament Fishing	3.30 (.67)	3.42 (.82)			
Wake Sports	48	169	.74 (215)	.459	.121
wake Sports	3.33 (.83)	3.43 (.81)		.433	.121
Pleasure Cruising	97	120	1.14 (215)	.255	.156
rieasure Cruising	3.34 (.84)	3.47 (.79)	1.14 (213)	.233	.130
Hunting	27	190	1.03 (215)	.303	.212
Trunting	3.26 (.76)	3.43 (.82)	1.03 (213)	.303	.212
Other	22	195	55 (215)	.585	.123
Other	3.50 (.67)	3.40 (.83)	55 (215)	.565	.123

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 8. The extent you feel the protective metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by gender identification

	M	SD	n
Female	3.45	.94	20
Male	3.42	.81	189
Prefer not to answer	3.13	.64	8
Total	3.41	.81	217

F=.52 (df=2, 214), p=.593, η^2 =.005

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 9. The extent you feel the protective metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by income

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
Age (years)	М	SD	n
<\$20,000	3.67	1.15	3
\$20,000-\$39,999	3.18	.98	11
\$40,000-\$59,999	3.56	.81	16
\$60,000-\$79,999	3.27	.92	26
\$80,000-\$99,999	3.19	.98	16
\$100,000-\$119,999	3.78	.74	23
\$120,000-\$139,999	3.48	.51	21
\$140,000-\$159,999	3.50	.76	20
\$160,000+	3.28	.83	68
Prefer not to answer	3.69	.48	13
Total	3.41	.81	217

F=1.39 (df=9, 207), p=.197, η²=.057

Table 10. In your opinion, how effective would this message be at increasing boaters' Clean, Drain, Dry behaviors by age

Age (years)	M	SD	n
18-25			
26-35	3.00	.71	5
36-45	3.29	.95	24
46-55	3.38	.85	42
56-65	3.39	.80	82
66-75	3.52	.71	50
> 75	3.73	.65	11
Total	3.42	.80	214

F=.92 (df=5, 208), p=.468, η²=.022

1.3 Militaristic Metaphor

Tables 11 through 15 report the analyses for the militaristic metaphor. We observed little statistically significant variation across groups. While most groups considered the message moderately effective for encouraging Clean, Drain, Dry, kayak/canoe/paddleboarders (Table 11; M=3.20) were more likely to consider the message less effective.



Figure 3. Militaristic Metaphor Message

Table 11. The extent you feel the militaristic metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by watercraft type

	Yes	No			
Watercraft Type	n	n	t (<i>df</i>)	<i>p</i> -value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Ski or Wakeboard Boat	50	153	-1.26 (201)	.211	205
SKI OI Wakeboara Boat	3.52 (.76)	3.36 (.79)	1.20 (201)	.211	.203
John Boat or Bass Boat ^a	66	137	.23 (106.1)	.815	.038
John Boat of Bass Boat	3.38 (.91)	3.34 (.72)	.23 (100.1)	.013	.030
Cabin Cruiser or	24	179	39 (201)	.695	085
Center Console	3.46 (.59)	3.39 (.81)	55 (201)	.033	085
Pontoon Boat	28	175	.04 (201)	.965	.009
Tontoon Boat	3.39 (.79)	3.40 (.79)	.04 (201)	.505	.003
Houseboat	8	195	83 (201)	.408	299
Tiouseboat	3.63 (.52)	3.39 (.79)	03 (201)	.400	233
Sailboat	10	193	.41 (.201)	.684	.132
Sanboat	3.30 (.67)	3.40 (.79)	.41 (.201)	.004	.132
Jet Ski	25	178	82 (201)	.412	175
Jet Ski	3.52 (.92)	3.38 (.77)	02 (201)	.412	173
Kayak, Canoe, or	51	152	2.15 (201)	.033*	.348
Paddleboard	3.20 (.78)	3.47 (.78)	2.13 (201)	.033	.546
Other	45	158	.85 (201)	.396	.144
Other	3.31 (.79)	3.42 (.78)	.65 (201)	.330	.144

^aEqual variance not assumed

Table 12. The extent you feel the militaristic metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by activity type

	Yes	No			
Activity Type	n	n	t (df)	p-value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Recreational Fishing	149	54	1.31 (201)	.193	.208
Recreational Fishing	3.36 (.81)	3.52 (.72)		.193	.206
Tournament Fishing	9	194	1.56 (201)	.119	.533
Tournament Fishing	3.00 (1.12)	3.42 (.77)	1.30 (201)	.119	.555
Wake Sports	37	166	98 (201)	.328	178
wake sports	3.51 (.69)	3.37 (.80)			
Pleasure Cruising	79	124	09 (201)	.930	013
rieasure Cruising	3.41 (.76)	3.40 (.80)	09 (201)	.330	013
Hunting	15	188	1.02 (201)	.309	.274
пинину	3.20 (.86)	3.41 (.78)	1.02 (201)	.303	.274
Other	14	189	50 (201)	.619	138
Other	3.50 (.85)	3.39 (.78)	50 (201)	.019	138

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

^{*}*p*<.05, ***p*<.01, ****p*<.001

¹⁼not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 13. The extent you feel the militaristic metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by gender identification

	M	SD	n
Female	3.38	.82	24
Male	3.41	.78	172
Prefer not to answer	3.29	.95	7
Total	3.40	.79	203

F=.092 (df=2, 200), p=.912, η²=.001

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 14. The extent you feel the militaristic metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by income

<u> </u>	•		
Age (years)	M	SD	n
<\$20,000	3.80	.84	5
\$20,000-\$39,999	3.60	.70	10
\$40,000-\$59,999	3.42	.84	19
\$60,000-\$79,999	3.54	.78	24
\$80,000-\$99,999	3.43	.66	23
\$100,000-\$119,999	3.22	.93	27
\$120,000-\$139,999	3.47	.77	19
\$140,000-\$159,999	3.73	.88	15
\$160,000+	3.23	.71	56
Prefer not to answer	3.20	.84	5
Total	3.40	.79	203

F=1.57 (df=9, 3890), p=.118, η²=.004

Table 15. In your opinion, how effective would this message be at increasing boaters' Clean, Drain, Dry behaviors by age

Age (years)	M	SD	n
18-25			
26-35	2.86	.69	7
36-45	3.14	.91	21
46-55	3.49	.72	39
56-65	3.49	.82	63
66-75	3.38	.74	53
> 75	3.50	.71	18
Total	3.40	.78	201

F=1.49 (df=5, 195), p=.196, η^2 =.037

1.4 Nativist Metaphor

Tables 16 through 20 report the analyses for the militaristic metaphor. We observed little statistically significant variation across groups. Respondents checking ownership of "other" watercraft (Table 16; M=3.16) and participating in "other" activities (Table 17; M=3.00) each considered the message to be significantly less effective than the other boating categories combined.



Figure 4. Nativist Metaphor Message

Table 16. The extent you feel the nativist metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by watercraft type

	Yes	No				
Watercraft Type	n	n	t (df)	<i>p</i> -value	Cohen's d	
	M (SD)	M (SD)				
Ski or Wakeboard Boat	65	126	-1.53 (192)	.127	233	
Ski di Wakebdala bdat	3.52 (.83)	3.34 (.76)	1.55 (152)	.127	.233	
John Boat or Bass Boat	54	140	-1.29 (192)	.200	206	
John Boat of Bass Boat	3.52 (.72)	3.36 (.81)	1.23 (132)	.200	.200	
Cabin Cruiser or	21	173	75 (192)	.453	174	
Center Console	3.52 (.75)	3.39 (.79)	.73 (132)	.433	.174	
Pontoon Boat	20	174	29 (192)	.774	068	
T OHIOOH Bout	3.45 (.83)	3.40 (.78)	.23 (132)	.,,,	.000	
Houseboat	5	189	-1.15 (192)	.251	521	
1100365000	3.80 (.45)	3.39 (.79)	1.13 (132)	.231	.521	
Sailboat	9	185	.70 (192)	.482	.240	
Samosat	3.22 (.97)	3.41 (.78)	170 (132)	02	.2.10	
Jet Ski	22	172	04 (192)	.965	010	
	3.41 (.80)	3.40 (.78)	10 1 (=0=)	.505	.010	
Kayak, Canoe, or	55	139	1.84 (126.39)	.068	.264	
Paddleboard ^a	3.25 (.64)	3.46 (.83)	1.0 . (120.00)		.231	
Other	43	151	2.29 (192)	.023*	.396	
311101	3.16 (.75)	3.47 (.78)	2.23 (132) .023		.550	

^aEqual variance not assumed

Table 17. The extent you feel the nativist metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by activity type

	Yes	No			
Activity Type	n	n	t (df)	p-value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Recreational Fishing	141	53	06 (192)	.950	010
Recreational Fishing	3.40 (.77)	3.40 (.82)	00 (192)	.930	010
Tournament Fishing	4	190	25 (192)	.801	127
Tournament risning	3.50 (.58)	3.40 (.79)	25 (192)	.001	127
Make Sports	48	146	E7 (102)	.568	005
Wake Sports	3.46 (.80)	3.38 (.78)	57 (192)	.506	095
Pleasure Cruising	88	106	.81 (192)	.422	.116
Pleasure Cruising	3.35 (.73)	3.44 (.83)	.61 (192)	.422	.116
Llunting	20	174	1 [2 (102)	120	260
Hunting	3.15 (.75)	3.43 (.79)	1.52 (192)	.129	.360
Other	18	176	2 21 (102)	.022*	E72
Other	3.00 (.84)	3.44 (.77)	2.31 (192)	.022	.572

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

^{*}*p*<.05, ***p*<.01, ****p*<.001

¹⁼not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 18. The extent you feel the nativist metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by gender identification

	M	SD	n
Female	3.52	.81	21
Male	3.37	.78	169
Prefer not to answer	4.00	.82	4
Total	3.40	.78	194

F=1.543 (df=2, 191), p=.216, η^2 =.016

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

`Table 19. The extent you feel the nativist metaphor message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by income

Age (years)	М	SD	n
<\$20,000	3.20	1.10	5
\$20,000-\$39,999	3.00	.94	10
\$40,000-\$59,999	3.22	.83	9
\$60,000-\$79,999	3.39	.78	23
\$80,000-\$99,999	3.43	.60	21
\$100,000-\$119,999	3.20	.77	20
\$120,000-\$139,999	3.75	.84	28
\$140,000-\$159,999	3.41	.85	22
\$160,000+	3.39	.72	51
Prefer not to answer	3.60	.55	5
Total	3.40	.78	194

F=1.19 (df=9, 184), p=.302, η²=.055

Table 20. In your opinion, how effective would this message be at increasing boaters' Clean, Drain, Dry behaviors by age

Age (years)	М	SD	n
18-25			
26-35	3.33	1.03	6
36-45	3.08	.88	24
46-55	3.44	.89	45
56-65	3.39	.63	67
66-75	3.49	.80	43
> 75	3.75	.71	8
Total	3.40	.78	193

F=1.25 (df=5, 187), p=.284, η²=.033

1.5 Ecological Gain

Tables 21 through 25 report the analyses for the ecological gain message treatment. We observed little statistical variation among groups. In some instances, the lack of statistical variation can be attributed the small number of cases within the various categories. While sailboat owners considered the message most effective (M=3.75), only four respondents who received this message reported owning a sailboat (Table 21). Of categories with 20 or more cases, owners of jetskis (M=3.57) and non-motorized watercraft (kayak, canoe, paddleboard; M=3.52) considered the message most effective. Alternately, among recreational activities, gender, and household income, we observed little substantive variation (Tables 22 through 24). Where 36 to 45 years olds (M=2.91) considered the message least effective, older respondents (≥ 66 years; Table 25) considered the message most effective.



Figure 1. Ecological Gain Message

Table 21. The extent you feel the ecological gain message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean,

Drain, Dry Behaviors by watercraft type

	Yes	No				
Watercraft Type	n	n	t (<i>df</i>)	<i>p</i> -value	Cohen's d	
	M (SD)	M (SD)				
Ski or Wakeboard Boat	57	148	47 (203)	.637	074	
Ski di Wakebdalu bdat	3.46 (.85)	3.40 (.75)	47 (203)	.037	074	
John Boat or Bass Boat	68	137	.99 (203)	.324	.147	
JUIII DUAL OF DASS DUAL	3.34 (.75)	3.45 (.79)	.99 (203)	.324	.147	
Cabin Cruiser or	21	184	1.10 (203)	.274	.253	
Center Console	3.24 (.94)	3.43 (.76)	1.10 (203)	.2/4	.233	
Pontoon Boat	25	180	1.20 (203)	.232	.256	
r ontoon boat	3.24 (.66)	3.44 (.79)	1.20 (203)	.232	.230	
Houseboat	8	197	1.54 (203)	.125	.556	
110030000	3.00 (.93)	3.43 (.77)	1.54 (205)	.123	.550	
Sailboat	4	201	87 (203)	.386	439	
Samboat	3.75 (.96)	3.41 (.78)	.07 (203)	.500	.433	
Jet Ski	21	184	97 (203)	.331	224	
JEL JKI	3.57 (.81)	3.40 (.78)	57 (203)	.551	224	
Kayak, Canoe, or	60	145	-1.21 (203)	.228	185	
Paddleboard	3.52 (.081)	3.37 (.76)	1.21 (203)	.220	.183	
Other	43	162	.18 (203)	.856	.031	
Other	3.40 (.76)	3.42 (.79)	.10 (203)	.050	.031	

^{*}p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 22. The extent you feel the ecological gain message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by activity type

	Yes	No			
Activity Type	n	n	t (df)	p-value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Recreational Fishing	149	56	.36 (203)	.721	.056
necreational Fishing	3.40 (.77)	3.45 (.81)	.30 (203)	./21	.030
Tournament Fishing	9	196	12 (203)	.907	040
Tournament Fishing	3.44 (1.01)	3.41 (.77)	12 (203)	.907	040
Wake Sports	47	158	11 (203)	.913	018
wake sports	3.43 (.83)	3.41 (.77)	11 (203)	.915	016
Pleasure Cruising	105	100	.45 (203)	.650	.063
rieasure Cruising	3.39 (.77)	3.44 (.80)	.43 (203)	.030	.003
Hunting	22	183	.04 (203)	.972	.008
Hulling	3.41 (.85)	3.42 (.77)	.04 (203)	.972	.008
Other ²	11	194	.99 (13.52)	.341	.192
Other	3.27 (.47)	3.42 (.79)	.99 (13.32)	.541	.132

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 23. The extent you feel the ecological gain message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean,

Drain, Dry Behaviors by gender identification

	ĭ		
	М	SD	n
Female	3.40	.83	15
Male	3.43	.77	182
Prefer not to answer	3.13	.84	8
Total	3.41	.78	205

F=.36 (df=2, 202), p=.559, η^2 =.006

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 24. The extent you feel the ecological gain message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by income

Age (years)	М	SD	n
<\$20,000	3.50	.58	4
\$20,000-\$39,999	3.50	.82	16
\$40,000-\$59,999	3.59	.87	17
\$60,000-\$79,999	3.46	.98	24
\$80,000-\$99,999	3.42	.72	24
\$100,000-\$119,999	3.50	.58	28
\$120,000-\$139,999	3.44	.89	16
\$140,000-\$159,999	3.46	.78	13
\$160,000+	3.30	.76	57
Prefer not to answer	3.00	.89	6
Total	3.41	.78	205

F=1.57 (df=9, 193), p=.118, η²=.004

Table 25. In your opinion, how effective would this message be at increasing boaters' Clean, Drain, Dry behaviors by age

Age (years)	M	SD	n
18-25			
26-35 ^{ab}	3.56	.73	9
36-45 ^a	2.91	.79	23
46-55 ^{ab}	3.39	.86	38
56-65 ^{ab}	3.36	.74	64
66-75 ^b	3.56	.76	50
> 75 ^{bc}	3.78	.55	18
Total	3.41	.78	205

F=3.32 (df=5, 196), p=.007, η^2 =.078

Note: Values not sharing the same superscript are significantly different at p< .05

1.6 Economic Loss

Tables 26 through 30 report the analyses for the economic loss message treatment. We observed statistical and substantive variation among boat ownership groups. Of those boat owners who considered the message most effective, jets ski (M=3.78) and ski/wakeboard owners (3.64) conserved the message most compelling (Table 26). Sailboat owners (while only five respondents reported owning a sailboat) considered the message to be significantly least effective (M=2.60). We observed little substantive variation among recreational activities, gender, household income, and age, we observed little substantive variation (Table 27 through 30).



Figure X. Economic Loss Message

Table 26. The extent you feel the economic loss message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by watercraft type

	Yes	No			
Watercraft Type	n	n	t (<i>df</i>)	<i>p</i> -value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Ski or Wakeboard Boat	58	138	-2.66 (194)	.008**	416
Ski di Wakebdala boat	3.64 (.81)	3.30 (.80)	-2.00 (134)	.008	410
John Boat or Bass Boat	64	132	1.65 (194)	.100	.252
Joini Boat of Bass Boat	3.27 (.76)	3.47 (.83)	1.05 (154)	.100	.232
Cabin Cruiser or	30	166	.75 (194)	.452	.149
Center Console	3.30 (.84)	3.42 (.81)	.73 (134)	.432	.143
Pontoon Boat	30	166	71 (194)	.480	140
1 ontoon boat	3.50 (.90)	3.39 (.80)	0)	.400	.140
Houseboat	6	190	30 (194)	.768	123
Houseboat	3.50 (.55)	3.40 (.82)	.50 (154)	.708	.123
Sailboat	5	191	2.26 (194)	.025*	1.023
Sumbout	2.60 (.89)	3.42 (.80)	2.20 (154)	.023	1.025
Jet Ski	18	178	-2.07 (194)	.040*	511
300 310	3.78 (.73)	3.37 (.81)	2.07 (13 1)	.010	.511
Kayak, Canoe, or	56	140	1.48 (194)	.142	.233
Paddleboard	3.27 (.80)	3.46 (.82)	1.40 (154)	.172	.233
Other ^a	33	163	1.99 (46.46)	.053	.374
Other	3.15 (.80)	3.45 (.81)	1.99 (46.46)	.053	.574

^aEqual variance not assumed

Table 27. The extent you feel the economic loss message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by activity type

				l	
	Yes	No			
Activity Type	n	n	t (df)	p-value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Pocroational Fishing	141	55	04 (104)	.347	.150
Recreational Fishing	3.37 (.78)	3.49 (.90)	.94 (194)	.547	.150
Townson Fishing	12	184	.31 (194)	.760	.091
Tournament Fishing	3.33 (.78)	3.41 (.82)			
Mala Caranta	40	156	-1.72 (194)	.086	306
Wake Sports	3.60 (.90)	3.35 (.79)			
Dia a suma Consisionad	83	113	44/456 77)	661	065
Pleasure Cruising ^a	3.43 (.90)	3.38 (.75)	44 (156.77)	.661	065
I I matin m	25	171	1 24 (104)	100	207
Hunting	3.20 (.71)	3.43 (.83)	1.34 (194)	.182	.287
Othor	7	189	20 (104)	600	140
Other	3.29 (.49)	3.41 (.82)	.39 (194)	.699	.149

^aEqual variance not assumed

^{*}*p*<.05, ***p*<.01, ****p*<.001

¹⁼not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 28. The extent you feel the economic loss message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by gender identification

	M	SD	n
Female	3.30	.98	20
Male	3.41	.81	169
Prefer not to answer	3.43	.54	7
Total	3.40	.81	196

F=.18 (df=2, 193), p=.837, η²=.002

Note: Like superscripts indicate no significant difference at *p*<.05

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 29. The extent you feel the economic loss message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by income

Age (years)	М	SD	n
<\$20,000	3.67	1.03	6
\$20,000-\$39,999	3.29	.49	7
\$40,000-\$59,999	3.36	.81	11
\$60,000-\$79,999	3.52	.51	27
\$80,000-\$99,999	3.10	.79	20
\$100,000-\$119,999	3.31	.98	36
\$120,000-\$139,999	3.40	.52	10
\$140,000-\$159,999	3.40	1.17	10
\$160,000+	3.42	.79	60
Prefer not to answer	4.00	.87	9
Total	.3.40	.81	196

F=1.05 (df=9, 186), p=.396, η²=.049

Table 30. In your opinion, how effective would this message be at increasing boaters' Clean, Drain, Dry behaviors by age

Age (years)	М	SD	n
18-25			
26-35	2.57	.98	7
36-45	3.67	.96	27
46-55	3.35	.84	52
56-65	3.40	.75	58
66-75	3.46	.72	39
> 75	3.38	.65	13
Total	3.40	.81	196

F=2.19 (df=5, 190), p=.057, η^2 =.054

1.7 Injunctive Norm Message

Tables 31 through 35 report the analyses for the injunctive norm message treatment. While we observed little variation across groups, pontoon boat (M=3.86) owners considered the message significantly more effective than other boat ownership categories as did older respondents (\geq 46 years) compared to younger cohorts (Table 35). Little substantive variation was seen among recreational activities, gender, and household income (Table 32 through 34).



Figure X. Injunctive Norm Message

Table 31. The extent you feel the injunctive norms message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by watercraft type

	Yes	No			
Watercraft Type	n	n	t (df)	<i>p</i> -value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Ski or Wakeboard Boat	47	134	-1.36 (179)	.175	231
Ski di Wakebdala bdat	3.53 (.75)	3.35 (.80)	-1.30 (173)	.173	231
John Boat or Bass Boat	62	119	1.13 (179)	.261	.177
	3.31 (.82)	3.45 (.77)		.201	.177
Cabin Cruiser or	17	164	.57 (179)	.569	.145
Center Console	3.29 (.77)	3.41 (.79)	.57 (175)	.505	.143
Pontoon Boat	21	160	-2.90 (179)	.004**	674
T OHIOOH Bout	3.86 (.73)	3.34 (.78)	2.30 (173)		.571
Houseboat ^a	5	176	.97 (4.75)	.377	.258
Housebout	3.20 (.45)	3.40 (.79)	.57 (1.75)	.577	.230
Sailboat	9	172	1.12 (179)	.263	.384
Sumbout	3.11 (.93)	3.41 (.78)	1.12 (173)	.203	.561
Jet Ski	22	159	.22 (179)	.829	.049
	3.36 (.66)	3.40 (.80)	.22 (273)	.023	10 13
Kayak, Canoe, or	54	127	.31 (179)	.761	.050
Paddleboard	3.37 (.73)	3.41 (.81)	.51 (175)	.,01	.030
Other	42	139	1.05 (179)	.293	.186
Other	3.29 (.74)	3.43 (.80)	1.03 (173)	.233	.100

^aEqual variance not assumed

Table 32. The extent you feel the injunctive norms message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by activity type

·	Yes	No			
Activity Type	n	n	t (df)	p-value	Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Recreational Fishing	132	49	10 (170)	.917	017
Recreational Fishing	3.40 (.81)	3.39 (.73)	-10 (179)	.917	017
Tournament Fishing	6	175	.73 (179)	.466	.304
Tournament rishing	3.17 (.75)	3.41 (.79)	./3 (1/9)	.400	.304
Wake Sports	47	134	-1.58 (178)	.166	268
wake sports	3.55 (.85)	3.34 (.76)	-1.38 (178)		208
Pleasure Cruising	76	105	.04 (179)	.965	.007
r leasure cruising	3.39 (.77)	3.40 (.80)	.04 (173)	.505	.007
Hunting	22	159	.22 (179)	.829	.049
nunting	3.36 (.66)	3.40 (.80)	.22 (173)	.023	.043
Other	21	160	.40 (179)	.691	.092
Other	3.33 (.80)	3.41 (.79)	.40 (1/3) .091		.092

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

^{*}*p*<.05, ***p*<.01, ****p*<.001

¹⁼not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 33. The extent you feel the injunctive norms message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by gender identification

	M	SD	n
Female	3.41	.78	29
Male	3.40	.80	149
Prefer not to answer	3.33	.58	3
Total	3.40	.79	181

F=.02 (df=2, 178), p=.984, η²=.000

Note: Like superscripts indicate no significant difference at *p*<.05

1=not at all effective through 5=extremely effective

Table 34. The extent you feel the injunctive norms message would be effective at increasing boaters Clean, Drain, Dry Behaviors by income

Age (years)	М	SD	n
<\$20,000	2.67	.58	3
\$20,000-\$39,999	3.67	.50	9
\$40,000-\$59,999	3.24	.97	17
\$60,000-\$79,999	3.38	.80	26
\$80,000-\$99,999	3.12	.78	17
\$100,000-\$119,999	3.12	.78	17
\$120,000-\$139,999	3.44	.62	18
\$140,000-\$159,999	3.75	.62	12
\$160,000+	3.51	.83	57
Prefer not to answer	3.60	.55	5
Total	3.40	.79	181

F=1.57 (df=9, 3890), p=.118, η²=.004

Table 35. In your opinion, how effective would this message be at increasing boaters' Clean, Drain, Dry behaviors by age

Age (years)	M	SD	n
18-25 ^a			
26-35 ^a	2.60	.55	5
36-45 ^b	3.05	.84	22
46-55 ^b	3.62	.64	26
56-65 ^b	3.44	.84	59
66-75 ^b	3.40	.73	57
> 75 ^b	3.55	.69	11
Total	3.39	.78	180

F=2.57 (df=5, 174), p=.029, η^2 =.029

Note: No significant difference in post-hoc comparisons.